2

#### Meets the definition of human subjects research.

Exempt studies involve human subjects research: research involving a living individual about whom data or biospecimens are obtained/used/studied/analyzed through interaction/intervention, or identifiable, private information is used/studied/analyzed/generated

Meets the criteria of one of the following exemptions:

in an educational setting using normal educational practices\*

\*Cannot include any other procedures, such as collection of clinical data or biospecimens

Exemption 4: involves the collection/study of data or specimens if publicly available, or recorded such that subjects cannot be identified\*

\*May be identifiable in limited cases. See §46.104(d)(4)(iii) and (iv) **Exemption 2**: uses educational tests, surveys, interviews, or observations of public behavior\*

\*Limited IRB review may be required.

**Exemption 5**: research or demonstration projects designed to study, evaluate, improve, or examine an *NIH* public benefit or service program\*

\*Applies to projects that NIH itself administers

**Exemption 3**: benign behavioral interventions in adults\*

\*Limited IRB review may be required.

**Exemption 6**: taste and food quality evaluations

**Exemption 7**: storage of identifiable information or biospecimens for secondary research use. *Broad consent* and *limited IRB review* are required

**Exemption 8**: secondary research use of identifiable information or biospecimens. Broad consent and limited IRB review are required

For more information see the <u>NIH OER Human Subjects Research website</u>. Send questions/comments to OER-HS@nih.gov.

#### **NIH Requirements:**

- HS education
- •Inclusion tracking for all except 4.

#### 45 CFR 46 Requirements:

- Limited IRB review for 7 & 8, and some study designs under 2 & 3.
- •Broad consent for 7 & 8

Cannot involve **prisoners**, unless includes a broader population that happens to include prisoners.

#### Cannot involve children in:

- •Exemption 2 if investigators participate in the activity being observed or includes identifiable info, OR
- •Exemption 3.

# Exemption 1 (X1)

Effectiveness of on-line training as supplement to regular instructional approach.

•Effectiveness of activities to increase awareness of oral health delivered at a community science museum

Testing a manual for parents to identify severe asthma symptoms

•Evaluation of health education that includes collection and analysis of heart rate and body measurements from students

#### Exemption 2 (X2)

- •Focus group of adult community members to discuss access to dental care
- Questionnaire about outdoor exercise, including collection of participants' age and zip code (limited IRB review conducted)
- •Substance abuse training for individuals engaged in illegal drug use, followed by a survey about the training
- •Investigator-led focus group of pre-teens to discuss bullying

## Exemption 3 (X3)

- Study among young adults evaluating preferred snack foods following a television program
- \*Study investigating text vs. voice message appointment reminders on self-reported annual physical appointment attendance
- •Diet and physical activity intervention for people with diabetes
  - •Examining reactions of participants during brief exposure to painful stimuli

## Exemption 4 (X4)

- •Patient data extracted from medical records without name or ID number every 6 months as follow up visits occur
- A collaborator removes an aliquot of blood from coded samples.
   Aliquots are re-labeled to a random, non-linked code
- De-identified blood drawn from subjects for the study by a blood bank
- Use of collaborator's coded tissue samples and the collaborator retains the code key

## Exemption 5 (X5)

- Outcomes evaluation of NIH conducted mental health service program\*
- \*NOTE: NIH anticipates use of this exemption will be rare
- •Evaluation of U.S. state administered service program •Evaluation of investigatorsponsored diabetes intervention

### Exemption 6 (X6)

- •Evaluation of wholesome food preferences
- •Study looking at approved levels of an agricultural chemical on taste of vegetables
- Study evaluating novel food additives
   Testing high doses of environmental contaminant on food taste

## Exemption 7 (X7)

- •Creating a dataset containing identifiers from a previous study to conduct future research\*
  •saving blood samples from collaborator's study for a future research question\*
  - \*(Broad consent obtained and limited IRB review conducted.)
- Dataset containing identifiers from prior study stored for future research, with informed consent for study-specific research (no broad consent)

## Exemption 8 (X8)

- Using dataset from prior study containing identifiers to answer subsequent research question\*
  - •Using blood samples from collaborator's study for an additional research question\*
  - \*(Broad consent obtained and limited IRB review conducted.)
- Using blood drawn from subjects with study specific consent for future research question





**Please note:** these are possible examples of exempt research accepted by NIH. Final determination of exemptions should be made in accordance with 45 CFR 46.