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NIH Conflict of Interest Rules:
Information for Reviewers of NIH R&D Contract Proposals

The NIH peer review system relies on the professionalism of each reviewer to identify any conflict of interest (COI) or apparent COI that may affect or appear to affect the integrity of the NIH peer review process.

- The NIH COI rules for initial peer review for technical evaluation of R&D contract proposals are based on federal regulations (42 CFR Part 52h) and presented in detail in NIH Guide Notice NOT-OD-14-069.
- In order to participate in the review meeting, you must:
  - Review the rules below, and screen the proposals for real or apparent COI for yourself.
  - Notify the Scientific Review Officer immediately if you have a COI or apparent COI with any proposal in this meeting.
  - Certify:
    - On the pre-meeting Conflict of Interest Certification that you have identified any proposal with which you have a COI or appearance of COI.
    - On the post-meeting Conflict of Interest Certification that you recused yourself from the review of any proposal pending review in the Scientific Review Group where your participation constitutes a real or apparent COI. In addition, the NIH may determine that a particular situation involves a COI and require that the potential reviewer not be involved in the review of the proposal(s) in question.

Federal employees participating in NIH peer review are subject to a comprehensive set of statutes and regulations governing their conduct, in addition to NIH policy regarding their selection and use in the peer review process. A Federal employee serving as an NIH peer reviewer is responsible for obtaining any clearance required by his/her employing institute, agency, or office.

You may not be on the technical evaluation panel if:

- You are named on a proposal in a major professional role (Program Director/Principal Investigator [PD/PI], Senior/Key Personnel, Other Significant Contributor, Project/Site/Core Director, collaborator, consultant).
• You are a member of an NIH Advisory Council.
• You have a direct financial interest: you or a close family member would receive a direct financial benefit if a proposal is funded.
• You are employed by an offeror institution.
• You are from an institution that is included as a subcomponent of a proposal.
• Within the past three years, you have been a collaborator or have had any other professional relationship (e.g., served as a mentor) with any person who has a major role on a proposal.
• A proposal includes a letter of support or reference letter from you.
• You serve as a member of the Advisory Board for a project under review.
• You have an indirect financial interest from the offeror institution or person with a major professional role of over $10,000 in honoraria, stocks, and fees during the last year or during the contract period.

You may be on the technical evaluation panel and may review specific proposals (not considered a COI) if:

• A proposal originates from an institution where you have collaborators, but your collaborators are not listed on the proposal.
• You have an indirect financial interest of less than $10,000.
• You freely donate reagents or other materials to the proposed project, and these reagents or materials would also be available to other researchers.
• You, as well as a person with a major role on the proposed project, contribute data, reagents, specimens, etc., to the same repository or database.
• You are a member of a research network that involves a person with a major role on the proposed project.
• You are a co-author of a non-research publication (e.g., review, commentary) or a mega-multi-authored publication with a person with a major role on the proposed project.

Post-Meeting Certification: Reviewer Who is a Federal Employee

Consistent with my understanding of potential consequences, including the prospect of penalties for falsification, concealment, fraud, and other actions as authorized by US Code Title 18 chapter 47 section 1001 (https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/USCODE-2011-title18/pdf/USCODE-2011-title18-partI-chap47.pdf), I certify that in the review identified above, I did not participate in an evaluation of any proposal in relation to which I had a conflict of interest or apparent conflict of interest under applicable government ethics standards, including submissions: (1) from any institution with which I have an outside activity; (2) from any institution I serve or am seeking to serve as an officer, director, trustee or partner; (3) from any institution with which I am seeking employment; (4) from or evaluating a product of any institution in which I, my spouse, and/or my minor child hold, in aggregate, more than $15,000 worth of stock; (5) that would affect my spouse’s employment, compensation, or benefits; (6) submitted by or that involves in a major professional role a close relative, a member of my household, an individual or entity with which I have a business or contractual relationship, or the employer of my spouse, parent, or dependent child; or (7) from my former (within the past year) non-Federal employer. I recused myself from the review of any proposal if I had an actual or apparent conflict of interest, unless a waiver or authorization, as appropriate, was granted by or in consultation with my ethics official. I fully understand the confidentiality of the review process.
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