

- 30) January 23, 2013 email from Office of Management Assessment regarding Office of Inspector General (OIG) Hotline Complaint.

Wolff, Axel (NIH/OD) [E]

From: Wolff, Axel (NIH/OD) [E]
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 2:18 PM
To: [Name] (NIH/OD) [E]
Subject: RE: DPI Case #2013-13—Referral of OIG Hotline Complaint #I20152

Hi [Name]

OLAW received the same complaint and has an open case on this matter. I can send you a copy of the final closeout of this case upon completion.

Axel Wolff, M.S., D.V.M.
Director, Division of Compliance Oversight
OLAW

From: [Name] (NIH/OD) [E]
Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2013 10:12 AM
To: Wolff, Axel (NIH/OD) [E]
Subject: RE: DPI Case #2013-13—Referral of OIG Hotline Complaint #I20152

Good morning Dr. Wolff,

I am writing to follow-up on our referral below regarding the University of Wisconsin. Please let me know when your office expects to be able to provide us with a report on your findings so that we can report back to the OIG.

Thank you,

[Name]

Program Analyst
Division of Program Integrity
NIH/OD/OM/OMA
6011 Executive Boulevard, Suite 601
MSC 7669
Rockville, MD 20852
main: 301-[Telephone #]
direct: 301-[Telephone #]
fax: 301-[Telephone #]

From: [Secondary Personnel] (NIH/OD) [E] **On Behalf Of** [Name] (NIH/OD) [E]
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2012 4:56 PM
To: Wolff, Axel (NIH/OD) [E]
Cc: [Name] (NIH/NIDCD) [E]; [Name] (NIH/NIDCD) [E]
Subject: DPI Case #2013-13—Referral of OIG Hotline Complaint #I20152

DUE DATE: January 18, 2013

ACTION: Please send your report and supporting documents to:
NIH/OD/OM/OMA
Attention: [Name]
Program Analyst
Division of Program Integrity

6011 Executive Boulevard, [Redacted] Room #
MSC 7669
Rockville, Maryland 20852
Fax: 301 [Redacted] Telephone #

Point of Contact: [Redacted] Name
Phone: [Redacted] Telephone #
E-mail [Redacted] Name @mail.nih.gov

The Division of Program Integrity in the Office of Management Assessment (OMA) at the National Institutes of Health (NIH) has received an allegation from the HHS Office of Inspector General (OIG) involving laboratory animals.

According to NIH Manual Chapter 1754, OMA may receive allegations from the OIG that are not within its purview. Those allegations are then referred to the appropriate NIH Office. OMA is responsible for reporting the final disposition of the case to the OIG. Therefore, we are requesting that your office review the allegation and send us a report of your review within 30 days from today's date. Upon completion of your review, we will report these results to the OIG. The allegation will be sent via a secure e-mail from my assistant, [Redacted]

[Redacted] Name

In accordance with the Privacy Act, all parties must maintain the confidentiality of this matter. Within the agency, information pertaining to this case may be shared only on a need-to-know basis. If you have any questions, please call [Redacted] Director, Division of Program Integrity, at [Redacted] Telephone #

Thank you,

[Redacted] Name
Director, Office of Management Assessment
Office of the Director
National Institutes of Health
6011 Executive Boulevard, Suite 601
Rockville, MD 20852
Phone: [Redacted] Telephone #
Fax: [Redacted] Telephone #

This email message may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient(s), or the employee or agent responsible for the delivery of this message to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of this email message is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by e-mail and delete this email message from your computer. Thank you.

From: web@oig.hhs.gov
To: [Tips, HHS](#)
Subject: Internet Complaint - Other Complaint
Date: Saturday, October 13, 2012 9:01:56 PM

* PGP - S/MIME Decrypted Message

The Following Information Was sent

Complainant First Name:	[REDACTED]
Complainant Last Name:	[REDACTED]
Complainant Address1:	[REDACTED]
Complainant City:	[REDACTED]
Complainant State:	[REDACTED]
Complainant Zip:	[REDACTED]
Complainant Phone:	[REDACTED]
Complainant Cell Phone:	[REDACTED]
Complainant Email:	[REDACTED]
Complainant Contact Time:	[REDACTED]

Subject Information

Allegation Type:	Business
Subject Business Name:	University of WisconsinMadison
Subject Business DBA (Doing Business As):	University of Wisconsin-Madison
Subject Business EIN:	396006492
Subject Business Address 1:	21 N. Park Street
Subject City:	Madison
Subject State:	WI

Subject ZIP:	53715
Subject Business Phone:	Telephone #
Subject Business Cell Phone:	Telephone #
Complaint Type:	Other Complaint
Program Type:	National Institutes of Health
Previous Report:	Yes
Previous Report Details:	USDA
Describe Activity:	<p>The National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders has given more than \$3 million for a series of cruel experiments on cats at the University of Wisconsin-Madison (UW). In the studies, cats have steel coils implanted in their eyes, holes drilled into their skulls, and electrodes implanted in their brains. Sometimes, cats used in these UW experiments have had their ears cut off or are intentionally deafened by having a toxic chemical applied to their inner ear. The cats are then deprived of food for several days in order to coerce them to look in the direction of sounds during experimental sessions in which their heads are immobilized by a bolt screwed into their skulls. Internal UW documents and photographs obtained by PETA show that one cat subjected to this experiment, an orange tabby cat named Double Trouble, woke up while experimenters were cutting into her head. Following a series of invasive surgeries, she developed infections, became lethargic and depressed, started to twitch, and suffered paralysis in half her face. After UW deemed the experiment a failure, the experimenters killed and decapitated Double Trouble so that they could examine her brain. Equally shocking is that UW experimenters justified the use of the 30 cats for this crude experiment in their protocol not by saying that it would enhance human health but by stating that it was necessary for them to "keep up a productive publication record that ensures our constant funding."</p>